



Eurasia Specialized Veterinary Publication

International Journal of Veterinary Research and Allied Science

ISSN:3062-357X

2023, Volume 3, Issue 2, Page No: 1-6

Copyright CC BY-NC-SA 4.0

Available online at: www.esvpub.com/

Advancements in Identifying Insect Resistance to Chemical Control

Laurence Després^{1*}, Jean-Philippe David¹, Christiane Gallet^{1,2}

¹Laboratoire d'Ecologie Alpine, LECA UMR CNRS 5553, Université Joseph Fourier, BP 53 38041, Grenoble Cedex 09, France.

²Université de Savoie, 73376 Le Bourget-du-Lac, Cedex, France.

*E-mail ✉ laurence.despres@ujf-grenoble.fr

ABSTRACT

Increasing pesticide resistance has emerged as a major issue in both ecology and agriculture, complicating the control of pests and ectoparasites while exacerbating the environmental impact of chemical treatments. This paper highlights the importance of investigating insecticide resistance in the veterinary, agricultural, and medical contexts. It provides an overview of the resistance observed in ectoparasites and insect pests in different global regions against most used insecticides. The paper also describes key methods for detecting insecticide resistance in field populations. Furthermore, it summarizes recent advances in understanding the molecular mechanisms behind insecticide resistance, while identifying key research directions. The need to assess resistance profiles and monitor the potential for resistance development in field populations is emphasized. A deeper understanding of molecular mechanisms involved in resistance is crucial for the discovery of new insecticidal agents and the formulation of effective application strategies.

Keywords: Insecticide, Pests, Resistance profile, Resistance diagnostics, Molecular mechanisms

Received: 15 May 2023

Revised: 15 August 2023

Accepted: 16 August 2023

How to Cite This Article: Després L, David JP, Gallet C. Advancements in Identifying Insect Resistance to Chemical Control. *Int J Vet Res Allied Sci.* 2023;3(2):1-6. <https://doi.org/10.51847/Zs6BfQoNxB>

Introduction

The application of chemicals has long been the primary method for managing ectoparasites and insect pests [1, 2], and pesticides continue to be the most widely used tool for controlling their populations [3-5]. Over the past several decades, pesticide use in agriculture has risen significantly, driven by the growing demand for the production of global food [6, 7]. According to data from the Food and Agricultural Organization, pesticide consumption in 2019 reached 4.2 million tons, with insecticides accounting for 17% of the total, following herbicides (53%) and fungicides and bactericides (23%) [7]. In Russia, pesticide production has increased 1.7 times from 86.8 thousand tons in 2017 to 148.9 thousand tons in 2021, with insecticides making up 12.5% of the total by 2021 [8].

Insecticides are vital for boosting agricultural productivity [2, 7]. However, their excessive and prolonged use, coupled with higher application doses, frequent treatments, and the reproduction cycles of pests, creates a significant risk of developing pesticide resistance in insects and mites [9]. This resistance has become a critical challenge for both ecology and agriculture [10], as it complicates pest and ectoparasite management and contributes to a higher chemical burden on the environment [11].

This paper highlights the significance of investigating insecticide resistance in veterinary, agricultural, and medical contexts. It provides an overview of the resistance observed in ectoparasites and insect pests in various global regions against most used insecticides. The paper also outlines key methods for detecting insecticide

resistance in field populations. Furthermore, it summarizes recent advancements in understanding the molecular mechanisms behind insecticide resistance, while identifying key research directions.

Results and Discussion

Research on insecticide resistance has grown significantly over the years, focusing on its prevalence in insect populations and the mechanisms responsible for its development. The increasing number of publications on this subject highlights its relevance, with indexed articles in Scopus nearly doubling from 616-1302 between 2011 and 2021, and maintaining this trend over the past 5 years. Now, over 330 insecticides are known to exhibit resistance in various arthropod species [12]. Bass *et al.* [13] conducted an analysis of neonicotinoid resistance and its forming mechanisms in pest populations that include cotton whitefly, green peach aphid, cotton aphid, brown planthopper, and Colorado beetle, all of which have significant economic importance. Resistance patterns in diamondback moths (*Plutella xylostella*), a common cruciferous pest, to OPCs, pyrethroids, and biopesticides have also been reported across various geographic regions [14, 15]. Between 2019 and 2020, high resistance to OPCs and growth regulators, along with tolerance to neonicotinoids, was found in populations of the rice pest white-backed planthopper (*Sogatella furcifera*) in China [16]. In African countries, Van den Berg *et al.* [17] noted the increasing risk of insecticide resistance in corn, cotton, vigna, and tomato pest species. Research conducted in Russia has also identified resistance in several insect populations, including the Colorado beetle, which has developed increasing resistance to various insecticides in areas such as the North Caucasus, Central Russia, and the North-Western regions [18]. Similar resistance trends have been observed in green peach aphids in the Astrakhan region and foxglove aphids in the Leningrad region, as well as in wireworms in the Pskov region [18].

The challenge of insecticide resistance extends beyond agriculture to impact both animal health and medicine [19-26]. Evidence suggests that cross-resistance is common in mosquito populations with public health relevance. For instance, between 2000 and 2020, resistance was reported in mosquitoes from the genera *Anopheles*, *Aedes*, *Culex*, and *Culiseta* in Iran, where these populations showed resistance to a variety of insecticides, including OPCs, COCs, pyrethroids, and carbamates [27]. During the same period, South Asian countries observed a rise in insecticide resistance within *Aedes* mosquito populations, which are vectors for diseases like Dengue, Zika, and yellow fever [28, 29]. Additionally, bed bugs (*Cimex lectularius* L.) have shown resistance to pyrethroids, neonicotinoids, and fipronil [24]. Juache-Villagrana *et al.* [30] discussed how insecticide resistance affects the vector competence of arthropods that transmit infections [30]. In Ireland, red lice (*Bovicola bovis*) collected from livestock demonstrated tolerance to deltamethrin, a pyrethroid insecticide [31]. The global challenge of insecticide resistance is also seen in housefly populations (*Musca domestica* L.) [32]. Resistance in houseflies to major classes of insecticides, such as organophosphates (OPCs) and carbamates, has been documented across America, Africa, and Asia [33, 34]. Populations resistant to pyrethroids and neonicotinoids have been reported in flies on farms with livestock and poultry [35-37]. Resistance to several insecticide classes, including COCs, OPCs, carbamates, pyrethroids, and neonicotinoids, has also been observed in housefly populations in Russia [32].

Several studies suggest the need for early identification of insecticide resistance, along with continuous monitoring of the spread in insect populations and effective resistance management strategies [11, 17, 20, 24, 38]. The World Health Organization (WHO) reports that only 38% of European countries factor in insecticide susceptibility levels when selecting insecticides for controlling insect vector populations. In contrast, in regions such as Asia-Pacific, Africa, and South America, the percentage of countries employing this practice is significantly higher, ranging from 80-92% [39]. Gaining insight into the resistance profiles of insect populations not only makes pest and parasite control more efficient and cost-effective but also aids in minimizing chemical use and reducing environmental harm.

To prevent and address insecticide resistance in pest and parasitic insect species, it is critical to gather detailed resistance profile data from natural populations. This is achieved through toxicological and molecular-genetic approaches. Toxicological testing involves biotesting to measure the toxicity of insecticides, resulting in the calculation of the resistance ratio (RR) and the proportion of individuals susceptible to a given insecticide at a diagnostic dose. The resistance ratio is determined by comparing the median lethal concentration (LC₅₀) of the insecticide for the target population to the LC₅₀ value for a susceptible strain. WHO advocates using diagnostic doses or concentrations to quickly assess resistance, which is defined as those causing 95-99% mortality in a susceptible insect population [26].

Specialists determine specific diagnostic concentrations (or doses) for every insect to assess their susceptibility to insecticides. A population is considered susceptible if 98-100% mortality occurs at the diagnostic concentration, whereas a population is deemed resistant if less than 90% mortality is observed at the same concentration [26]. The methods used to evaluate insecticide toxicity are tailored to the biological traits of the insect species or groups under study. The WHO has developed procedures to identify insecticide resistance in populations of disease-carrying vectors and other synanthropic insects. Further details on testing methods for plant pests can be seen on the Insecticide Resistance Action Committee's website.

Following the WHO diagnostic protocols, it is not enough to merely confirm the presence of resistance in an insect population. It is also crucial to assess the extent of resistance and identify the underlying mechanisms [26]. This involves using biochemical and molecular techniques to pinpoint the mechanisms responsible for insecticide resistance in specific populations. Studies focus on detoxifying enzymes [40, 41], insecticide molecular targets [3, 42], and the identification of alleles linked to resistance [43, 44]. Recent advancements have been made in the knowledge of the mechanisms of resistance to widely used insecticides, including pyrethroids, OPCs, neonicotinoids, spinosyns, and pyrazoles. For example, five alleles responsible for resistance to pyrethroids due to target site insensitivity have been identified in the literature: *kdr-his* (L1014H), *kdr* (L1014F), *super-kdr* (M929I+L1014F), *Type N* (D600N+M918T+L1014F), and *1B* (T929I+L1014F) [44]. Mutations such as Gly137Asp and Trp251Leu/Ser in carboxylesterase genes are known to confer resistance to OPCs by altering the enzyme's active site and enhancing its hydrolytic activity against the insecticide [45]. Carboxylesterases in the cotton bollworm *Helicoverpa armigera* Hbn. contribute to resistance against organophosphates and pyrethroids by increasing sequestration through gene overexpression [46]. Feyereisen *et al.* [47] reviewed mutations in acetylcholinesterase genes that contribute to OPC resistance in various insect species. While resistance mechanisms to newer insecticides like chlorfenapyr and chlorantraniliprole remain less clear, possible resistance mechanisms for chlorfenapyr have been explained in detail for the spider mite [48] and boll weevil [49], including increased activity of esterases and glutathione-S-transferases, along with reduced cuticle permeability.

Research on resistant populations of cabbage moths has shown that the enzymes previously discussed are not considered in developing resistance to chlorfenapyr [50]. A significant focus of insecticide resistance studies is on epigenetic influences, the interactions between resistance-related genes, and the regulatory factors that activate their expression [38]. Categorizing and re-defining particular genetic mutations linked to resistance against specific insecticides could be valuable for creating molecular diagnostic tools for detecting resistance [38]. Furthermore, understanding the molecular mechanisms behind resistance to various insecticides, as well as its potential emergence in insect populations, is crucial for discovering new active ingredients and formulating innovative pesticides, as well as devising new strategies for their use.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the cases discussed in this review highlight that insecticide resistance is a critical concern for both ecology and agriculture. By conducting toxicological and molecular research on the resistance profiles of insect populations, more effective resistance management strategies can be developed, ultimately leading to a reduction in the chemical burden on the environment.

Acknowledgments: None

Conflict of Interest: None

Financial Support: None

Ethics Statement: None

References

1. Ranian K, Zahoor MK, Zahoor MA, Rizvi H, Rasul A, Majeed HN, et al. evaluation of resistance to some pyrethroid and organophosphate insecticides and their underlying impact on the activity of esterases and phosphatases in house fly, *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae). *Pol J Environ Stud.* 2021;30(1):327-36. doi:10.15244/pjoes/96240

2. Sharma A, Shukla A, Attri K, Kumar M, Kumar P, Sutttee A, et al. Global trends in pesticides: a looming threat and viable alternatives. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* 2020;201:110812. doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.110812
3. Riaz B, Kashif ZM, Malik K, Ahmad A, Majeed HN, Jabeen F, et al. Frequency of pyrethroid insecticide resistance kdr gene and its associated enzyme modulation in housefly, *Musca domestica* L. populations from Jhang, Pakistan. *Front Environ Sci.* 2022;9:806456. doi:10.3389/fenvs.2021.806456
4. You C, Li Z, Yin Y, Na N, Gao X. Time of day-specific changes in metabolic detoxification and insecticide tolerance in the house fly, *Musca domestica* L. *Front Physiol.* 2022;12:803682. doi:10.3389/fphys.2021.803682
5. Mironenko AV, Engashev SV, Deltsov AA, Vasilevich FI, Engasheva ES, Shabunin SV. Study of acute toxicity” Flyblok insecticidal tag. *Pharmacophore.* 2020;11(4):60-4.
6. Casu V, Tardelli F, De Marchi L, Monni G, Cuccaro A, Oliva M, et al. Soluble esterases as biomarkers of neurotoxic compounds in the widespread serpulid *Ficopomatus enigmaticus* (Fauvel, 1923). *J Environ Sci Health B.* 2019;54(11):883-91. doi:10.1080/03601234.2019.1640028
7. Indira Devi P, Manjula M, Bhavani RV. Agrochemicals, environment, and human health. *Annu Rev Environ Resour.* 2022;47(1):399-421. doi:10.1146/annurev-environ-120920-111015
8. BusinesStat [Internet]. LLC “BusinessStat”, Moscow. Available from: <https://businesstat.ru/news/pesticides/>
9. Kristensen M, Knorr M, Spencer AG, Jespersen JB. Selection and reversion of azamethipos-resistance in a field population of the housefly *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae), and the underlying biochemical mechanisms. *J Econ Entomol.* 2000;93(6):1788-95. doi:10.1603/0022-0493-93.6.1788
10. Ibragimov AG. Ecological problems of agriculture. *Agrar Sci.* 2019;(4):73-5.
11. Sparks TC, Storer N, Porter A, Slater R, Nauen R. Insecticide resistance management and industry: the origins and evolution of the Insecticide Resistance Action Committee (IRAC) and the mode of action classification scheme. *Pest Manag Sci.* 2021;77(6):2609-19. doi:10.1002/ps.6254
12. Sparks TC, Crossthwaite AJ, Nauen R, Banba S, Cordova D, Earley F, et al. Insecticides, biologics and nematicides: updates to IRAC's mode of action classification - a tool for resistance management. *Pestic Biochem Physiol.* 2020;167:104587. doi:10.1016/j.pestbp.2020.104587
13. Bass C, Denholm I, Williamson MS, Nauen R. The global status of insect resistance to Neonicotinoid insecticides. *Pestic Biochem Physiol.* 2015;121:78-87.
14. Tamilselvan R, Kennedy JS, Suganthi A. Monitoring the resistance and baseline susceptibility of *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) against spinetoram in Tamil Nadu, India. *Crop protection.* 2021;142:105491. doi:10.1016/j.cropro.2020.105491
15. Banazeer A, Afzal MBS, Hassan S, Ijaz M, Shad SA, Serrão JE. Status of insecticide resistance in *Plutella xylostella* (Linnaeus) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) from 1997 to 2019: cross-resistance, genetics, biological costs, underlying mechanisms, and implications for management. *Phytoparasitica.* 2022;50(2):465-85. doi:10.1007/s12600-021-00959-z
16. Li Z, Qin Y, Jin R, Zhang Y, Ren Z, Cai T, et al. Insecticide resistance monitoring in field populations of the whitebacked planthopper *Sogatella furcifera* (Horvath) in China, 2019–2020. *Insects.* 2021;12(12):1078. doi:10.3390/insects12121078
17. Van den Berg J, Greyvenstein B, du Plessis H. Insect resistance management facing African smallholder farmers under climate change. *Curr Opin Insect Sci.* 2022;50:100894. doi:10.1016/j.cois.2022.100894
18. Sukhoruchenko GI, Ivanova GP, Vasilieva TI, Volgarev SA. Resistance of seed potato pests to insecticides in Russia. 16th congress of the Russian entomological society. Moscow, August 22–26, 2022. Abstract book. 164 p.
19. Leont'eva TL, Syrtlanova LA, Ben'kovskaya GV. Development of Colorado potato beetle resistance to insecticides on the territory of the Republic of Bashkortostan. *Vestnik Bashkirskogo gosudarstvennogo agrarnogo universiteta.* 2016;2(38):11-4.
20. Benkovskaya GV, Dubovskiy IM. Spreading of Colorado potato beetle resistance to chemical insecticides in Siberia and history of its settling in the secondary area. *Plant Prot News.* 2020;103(1):37-9. doi:10.31993/2308-6459-2020-103-1-37-39
21. Kassiri H, Dehghani R, Doostifar K, Rabbani D, Limoe M, Chaharbaghi N. Insecticide resistance in urban pests with emphasis on urban pests resistance in Iran: a review. *Entomol Appl Sci Lett.* 2020;7(3):32-54.

22. Eremina OYu, Olekhovich EI, Alekseev MA, Olifer VV, Roslavl'tseva SA, Ibragimkhalilova IV, et al. Insecticide resistance of *Blattella germanica* (L.) (Blattoptera: Blattellidae) (Literature review 2000-2015). *Dezinfektsionnoe Delo*. 2016;2(96):42-53.
23. Buxton M, Wasserman RJ, Nyamukondiwa C. Spatial *Anopheles arabiensis* (Diptera: Culicidae) insecticide resistance patterns across malaria-endemic regions of Botswana. *Malar J*. 2020;19(1):415. doi:10.1186/s12936-020-03487-z
24. González-Morales MA, DeVries Z, Sierras A, Santangelo RG, Kakumanu ML, Schal C. Resistance to fipronil in the common bed bug (Hemiptera: Cimicidae). *J Med Entomol*. 2021;58(1.4):1798-807. doi:10.1093/jme/tjab040
25. Diymba Dzemo W, Thekisoe O, Vudriko P. Development of acaricide resistance in tick populations of cattle: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heliyon*. 2022;8(1.1):e08718. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e08718
26. WHO. Manual for monitoring insecticide resistance in mosquito vectors and selecting appropriate interventions. World Health Organization. 2022. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/356964>
27. Salim Abadi Y, Sanei-Dehkordi A, Paksa A, Gorouhi MA, Vatandoost H. Monitoring and mapping of insecticide resistance in medically important mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in Iran (2000-2020): a review. *J Arthropod Borne Dis*. 2021;15(1):21-40.
28. Gan SJ, Leong YQ, Bin Barhanuddin MFH, Wong ST, Wong SF, Mak JW, et al. Dengue fever and insecticide resistance in *Aedes* mosquitoes in Southeast Asia: a review. *Parasit Vectors*. 2021;14(1):315. doi:10.1186/s13071-021-04785-4
29. Bharati M, Saha D. Insecticide resistance status and biochemical mechanisms involved in *Aedes* mosquitoes: a scoping review. *Asian Pac J Trop Med*. 2021;14(2):52-63.
30. Juache-Villagrana AE, Pando-Robles V, Garcia-Luna SM, Ponce-Garcia G, Fernandez-Salas I, Lopez-Monroy B, et al. Assessing the impact of insecticide resistance on vector competence: a review. *Insects*. 2022;13(4):377. doi:10.3390/insects13040377
31. Mckiernan F, O'Connor J, Minchin W, O'Riordan E, Dillon A, Harrington M, et al. A pilot study on the prevalence of lice in Irish beef cattle and the first Irish report of deltamethrin tolerance in *Bovicola bovis*. *Ir Vet J*. 2021;74(1):20. doi:10.1186/s13620-021-00198-y
32. Davlianidze TA, Eremina OYu. Sanitary and epidemiological significance and resistance to insecticides in the housefly *Musca domestica*. *Plant Prot News*. 2021;104(2):72-86. doi:10.31993/2308-6459-2021-104-2-14984
33. Khan HAA. Side effects of insecticidal usage in rice farming system on the non-target house fly *Musca domestica* in Punjab, Pakistan. *Chemosphere*. 2020;241:125056. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125056
34. Li Q, Huang J, Yuan J. Status and preliminary mechanism of resistance to insecticides in a field strain of housefly (*Musca domestica*, L). *Rev Bras Entomol*. 2018;62(4):311-4.
35. Kaufman PE, Nunez SC, Mann RS, Geden CJ, Scharf ME. Nicotinoid and pyrethroid insecticide resistance in houseflies (Diptera: Muscidae) collected from Florida dairies. *Pest Manag Sci*. 2010;66(3):290-4.
36. Brito LG, Barbieri FS, Rocha RB, Santos APL, Silva RR, Ribeiro ES, et al. Pyrethroid and organophosphate pesticide resistance in field populations of horn fly in Brazil. *Med Vet Entomol*. 2019;33(1):121-30. doi:10.1111/mve.12330
37. Ahmadi E, Khajehali J, Rameshgar F. Evaluation of resistance to permethrin, cypermethrin and deltamethrin in different populations of *Musca domestica* (L.), collected from the Iranian dairy cattle farms. *J Asia Pac Entomol*. 2020;23(2):277-84.
38. Bass C, Jones M. Editorial overview: pests and resistance: resistance to pesticides in arthropod crop pests and disease vectors: mechanisms, models and tools. *Curr Opin Insect Sci*. 2018;27:4-7.
39. van den Berg H, da Silva Bezerra HS, Al-Eryani S, Chanda E, Nagpal BN, Knox TB, et al. Recent trends in global insecticide use for disease vector control and potential implications for resistance management. *Sci Rep*. 2021;11(1):23867. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-03367-9
40. Khan Mirza F, Yarahmadi F, Lotfi Jalal-Abadi A, Meraaten AA. Enzymes mediating resistance to chlorpyrifos in *Aphis fabae* (Homoptera: Aphididae). *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf*. 2020;206(4):111335. doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.111335
41. Li D, Xu L, Liu H, Chen X, Zhou L. Metabolism and antioxidant activity of SIGSTD1 in *Spodoptera litura* as a detoxification enzyme to pyrethroids. *Sci Rep*. 2022;12(1):10108. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-14043-x

42. Mashlawi AM, Al-Nazawi AM, Noureldin EM, Alqahtani H, Mahyoub JA, Saingamsook J, et al. Molecular analysis of knockdown resistance (kdr) mutations in the voltage-gated sodium channel gene of *Aedes aegypti* populations from Saudi Arabia. *Parasit Vectors*. 2022;15(1):375. doi:10.1186/s13071-022-05525-y
43. Kamdar S, Farmani M, Akbarzadeh K, Jafari A, Gholizadeh S. Low frequency of knockdown resistance mutations in *Musca domestica* (Muscidae: Diptera) collected from Northwestern Iran. *J Med Entomol*. 2019;56(2):501-5. doi:10.1093/jme/tjy177
44. Freeman JC, Ross DH, Scott JG. Insecticide resistance monitoring of house fly populations from the United States. *Pestic Biochem Physiol*. 2019;158:61-8. doi:10.1016/j.pestbp.2019.04.006
45. Li Y, Farnsworth CA, Coppin CW, Teese MG, Liu JW, Scott C, et al. Organophosphate and pyrethroid hydrolase activities of mutant Esterases from the cotton bollworm *Helicoverpa armigera*. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(10):e77685. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077685
46. Li Y, Liu J, Lu M, Ma Z, Cai C, Wang Y, et al. Bacterial expression and kinetic analysis of Carboxylesterase 001D from *Helicoverpa armigera*. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2016;17(4):493. doi:10.3390/ijms17040493
47. Feyereisen R, Dermauw W, Van Leeuwen T. Genotype to phenotype, the molecular and physiological dimensions of resistance in arthropods. *Pestic Biochem Physiol*. 2015;121:61-77. doi:10.1016/j.pestbp.2015.01.004
48. Van Leeuwen T, Stillatus V, Tirry L. Genetic analysis and cross-resistance spectrum of a laboratory-selected chlorfenapyr resistant strain of two-spotted spider mite (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Exp Appl Acarol*. 2004;32(4):249-61. doi:10.1023/b:appa.0000023240.01937.6d
49. Ullah S, Shah RM, Shad SA. Genetics, realized heritability and possible mechanism of chlorfenapyr resistance in *Oxycarenus hyalinipennis* (Lygaeidae: Hemiptera). *Pestic Biochem Physiol*. 2016;133:91-6. doi:10.1016/j.pestbp.2016.02.007
50. Wang X, Wang J, Cao X, Wang F, Yang Y, Wu S, et al. Long-term monitoring and characterization of resistance to chlorfenapyr in *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) from China. *Pest Manag Sci*. 2019;75(3):591-7. doi:10.1002/ps.5222